

University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras
College of General Studies English Department
INGL 3011-3012 ESSAY RUBRIC

Section _____
 Ref# _____

Evaluator _____
 Date _____

CRITERIA	4 Superior Competency	3 Strong Competency	2 Adequate Competency	1 Insufficient Competency	TOTAL
1. Content	<input type="checkbox"/> Exemplary knowledge of subject <input type="checkbox"/> Superior and/or creative <input type="checkbox"/> Elegantly stated thesis <input type="checkbox"/> Outstanding development of excellent thesis <input type="checkbox"/> Exceptional use of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Strong knowledge of subject <input type="checkbox"/> Above average development of strong thesis <input type="checkbox"/> Clearly stated thesis <input type="checkbox"/> Good use of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Average knowledge of subject <input type="checkbox"/> Average development of an appropriate thesis <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis is appropriately stated with minor weaknesses <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient use of evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Some knowledge of subject <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate development and/or poor thesis <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly relevant to topic, but lacks important detail <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient use of evidence	
2. Critical Thinking	<input type="checkbox"/> Sophisticated, insightful ideas and/or engaging application of theory <input type="checkbox"/> Goes well beyond questions of assignment <input type="checkbox"/> Carefully considers and incorporates counterargument <input type="checkbox"/> Includes detailed, insightful analysis of quotations and close readings	<input type="checkbox"/> Strong ideas throughout and/or above average application of theory <input type="checkbox"/> Fulfills questions of assignment very well <input type="checkbox"/> Presents counterargument clearly <input type="checkbox"/> Includes consistently strong analysis of quotation and close reading	<input type="checkbox"/> Some good ideas and/or average understanding of theory <input type="checkbox"/> Fulfills questions of assignment <input type="checkbox"/> Includes some elements of counterargument <input type="checkbox"/> Includes some strong analysis of quotation and close readings	<input type="checkbox"/> Ideas unsurprising and/or confused application of theory <input type="checkbox"/> Addresses questions of assignment <input type="checkbox"/> Does not consider counterargument <input type="checkbox"/> Little or no close engagement with quotations or close readings	
3. Organization	<input type="checkbox"/> Fluid expression <input type="checkbox"/> Ideas elegantly stated <input type="checkbox"/> Succinct and clear <input type="checkbox"/> Body paragraphs well focused and structured <input type="checkbox"/> No problems with logical order	<input type="checkbox"/> Largely fluid <input type="checkbox"/> Main ideas clearly stated <input type="checkbox"/> Body paragraphs focused <input type="checkbox"/> Logical order overall	<input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes abrupt or awkward transitions <input type="checkbox"/> Problems with coherence, but main ideas stand out <input type="checkbox"/> Body paragraphs sometimes unfocused <input type="checkbox"/> Logical but incomplete order	<input type="checkbox"/> Abrupt or awkward transitions <input type="checkbox"/> Ideas confused or disconnected <input type="checkbox"/> Body paragraphs often lose focus <input type="checkbox"/> Problems with logical order	

Rubric created by Madeleine Vala, Cynthia Pittmann, and Alejandra Menegol, October 2015. Approved by Honors Level, November 2015. This rubric can be reproduced and used as long as the unit concerned is notified and credit noted on the document.

4. Vocabulary	<input type="checkbox"/> Sophisticated range <input type="checkbox"/> Mastery of diction and word usage <input type="checkbox"/> Word form mastery <input type="checkbox"/> Mastery of Academic register	<input type="checkbox"/> Good range <input type="checkbox"/> Effective diction and word usage <input type="checkbox"/> Word forms correct <input type="checkbox"/> Skilled use of academic register	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate range <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly effective diction and minor errors in usage <input type="checkbox"/> Word meanings are appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/> Adequate range <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional errors of diction but meaning not obscured <input type="checkbox"/> Word meaning confused or obscured	
5. Grammar and Mechanics	<input type="checkbox"/> Effective and complex sentences <input type="checkbox"/> No errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax <input type="checkbox"/> No errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization or paragraphing	<input type="checkbox"/> Complex sentences with few minor problems in construction <input type="checkbox"/> Few and minor errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax <input type="checkbox"/> Few and minor errors of spelling, punctuation capitalization, or paragraphing	<input type="checkbox"/> Some incorrectly constructed complex sentences / Frequent simple sentences <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax <input type="checkbox"/> Occasional errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization or paragraphing	<input type="checkbox"/> Frequent problematic complex sentences / Mostly simple sentences <input type="checkbox"/> Frequent errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax <input type="checkbox"/> Several errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing	
6. Information Competencies - Format	<input type="checkbox"/> Correctly follows MLA guidelines in the majority of cases.	<input type="checkbox"/> Usually follows MLA style guidelines with occasional oversights in documentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Irregular use of MLA style guidelines with frequent errors and/or inconsistent use of documentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not follow MLA guidelines and/or omits documentation	
7. Information Competencies - Selection of external evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent selection of scholarly, and/or appropriate materials <input type="checkbox"/> Exceeds number of required sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Strong selection of scholarly, and/or appropriate materials <input type="checkbox"/> Fulfills number of required sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Some materials scholarly, others inappropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Missing required numbers of sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Selection of sources is unscholarly and/or inappropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Well below minimum number of sources	
8. Number of external sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Exceeds number of required sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Fulfills number of required sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Missing required number of sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Well below minimum number of sources	
TOTAL:					

Rubric created by Madeleine Vala, Cynthia Pittmann, and Alejandra Menegol, October 2015. Approved by Honors Level, November 2015. This rubric can be reproduced and used as long as the unit concerned is notified and credit noted on the document.