Section	
Ref#	

## University of Puerto Rico, Rio Piedras College of General Studies English Department INGL 3011-3012 ESSAY RUBRIC

Evaluator_	
Date	

CRITERIA	4 Superior Competency	3 Strong Competency	2 Adequate Competency	1 Insufficient Competency	TOTAL
1. Content	□ Exemplary knowledge of subject □ Superior and/or creative □ Elegantly stated thesis □ Outstanding development of excellent thesis □ Exceptional use of evidence	□ Strong knowledge of subject □ Above average development of strong thesis □ Clearly stated thesis □ Good use of evidence	□ Average knowledge of subject □ Average development of an appropriate thesis □ Thesis is appropriately stated with minor weaknesses □ Sufficient use of evidence	□ Some knowledge of subject □Inadequate development and/or poor thesis □ Mostly relevant to topic, but lacks important detail □ Insufficient use of evidence	
2. Critical Thinking	□ Sophisticated, insightful ideas and/or engaging application of theory □ Goes well beyond questions of assignment □ Carefully considers and incorporates counterargument □ Includes detailed, insightful analysis of quotations and close readings	□ Strong ideas throughout and/or above average application of theory □ Fulfills questions of assignment very well □ Presents counterargument clearly □ Includes consistently strong analysis of quotation and close reading	□ Some good ideas and/or average understanding of theory □ Fulfills questions of assignment □ Includes some elements of counterargument □ Includes some strong analysis of quotation and close readings	□ Ideas unsurprising and/or confused application of theory □ Addresses questions of assignment □ Does not consider counterargument □ Little or no close engagement with quotations or close readings	
3. Organization	□ Fluid expression □ Ideas elegantly stated □ Succinct and clear □ Body paragraphs well focused and structured □ No problems with logical order	□ Largely fluid □ Main ideas clearly stated □ Body paragraphs focused □ Logical order overall	□ Sometimes abrupt or awkward transitions □ Problems with coherence, but main ideas stand out □ Body paragraphs sometimes unfocused □ Logical but incomplete order	□ Abrupt or awkward transitions □ Ideas confused or disconnected □ Body paragraphs often lose focus □ Problems with logical order	
4. Vocabulary	☐ Sophisticated range ☐ Mastery of diction and word usage ☐ Word form mastery ☐ Mastery of academic register	☐ Good range ☐ Effective diction and word usage ☐ Word forms correct ☐ Skilled use of academic register	☐ Moderate range ☐ Mostly effective diction and minor errors in usage ☐ Word meanings are appropriate	☐ Adequate range ☐ Occasional errors of diction but meaning not obscured ☐ Word meaning confused or obscured	

5. Grammar	□ Effective and	□ Complex sentences	□ Some incorrectly	□ Frequent problematic
and	complex sentences	with few minor	constructed	
and Mechanics	complex sentences  □ No errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax  □ No errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization or paragraphing	with few minor problems in construction  □ Few and minor errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax  □ Few and minor errors of spelling, punctuation capitalization, or paragraphing	complex sentences / Frequent simple sentences  □ Occasional errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns,	complex sentences / Mostly simple sentences  Frequent errors of agreement, tense, number, articles, pronouns, prepositions or syntax  Several errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing
6. Information Competencies - Format	Correctly follows MLA guidelines in the majority of cases.	☐ Usually follows MLA style guidelines with occasional oversights in documentation	☐ Irregular use of MLA style guidelines with frequent errors and/or inconsistent use of documentation	□ Does not follow MLA guidelines and/or omits documentation
7. Information Competencies - Selection of external evidence	□ Excellent selection of scholarly, and/or appropriate materials □ Exceeds number of required sources	C	□ Some materials scholarly, others inappropriate □ Missing required number of sources	☐ Selection of sources is unscholarly and/or inappropriate ☐ Well below minimum number of sources
				TOTAL:

Rubric created by Madeleine Vala, Cynthia Pittmann, and Alejandra Menegol, October 2015. Approved by Honors Level, November 2015.